Consecutive Exotropia: assessment and treatment

Lionel Kowal & Lloyd Bender

OCULAR MOTILITY CLINIC & Center for Eye Research Australia, Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital, Melbourne, AUSTRALIA

Start off with humility : it is much easier than having it thrust on you

CONSECUTIVE XT

- >Any XT happening after previous ET
- Usually after ET surgery
- Rare: spontaneous consecutive XT : was ET, no surgery now XT



HOW COMMON IS CONSEC XT?

Alberto Ciancia [Argentina]: 90% perfect early alignment after cong ET surgery [n=390] \rightarrow 30% consec XT over next 25y [50% followup]

Core defect in consec XT Is Medial Rectus underaction >WHY / HOW do we get this MR underaction?

RETROSPECTIVE SERIES

>All my patients with consecutive XT seen &/or operated in last 5y > A few had surgery earlier, but were seen in this period 225 patients seen >119 have surgery [~ 50%]

WHY DOES CONSEC XT HAPPEN?





INSERTION MIGRATION GENERIC STRETCHED SCAR

1. Early consec XT n=9 [7.5%] WHY?

> 1: Poor suturing technique
> 2: Knots come undone
> 3: Aberrant early healing

1. Early consec XT : 'Slipped muscle' ~2w after surgery

CASE: Explore 'slipped muscle' – no vicryl seen. Did the pt pull it out somehow? Was it faulty and it hydrolysed in 2 weeks?

50% of patients: 2^{ND} & 3^{RD} decades after last ET surgery



MEDIAN TIME TO SURGERY 22 YRS. AVERAGE 23.

THIS IS AN ADULT DISEASE



Distribution of pre-op angle Δ



2. Insertion migration n=11 [9%]

- If Dr X recesses a MR by 4mm, & if I was to photograph it* or explore it a week later it might be 4 +/- 1mm.
- There are artifacts in measurements, in how traction sutures or locking forceps pull on the limbus or insertion & stretch the sclera anterior or behind the insertion etc. so some small real or apparent "insertion migration" is expected. * PAT in ET study

wal MEACO 2009

Insertion migration

If I have good records [esp. my own] that show 5mm recess and I find the muscle 13mm from limbus that's probably insertion migration.

Possible mechanism : Shifting the insertion might change the mechanical stresses on different parts of the sclera & have an effect on growth.

IRENE LUDWIG'S AOS THESIS Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc. 1999

RELATED SCAR REMODELING PHENOMENA IN STRABISMUS

Migration of Scleral Reattachment Site

Strabismus surgeons have noticed that sometimes the final muscle reattachment site on the sclera is not the same as the original surgical attachment site^{110.127} (Fig 60). Migration of the reattachment site has also been shown in

sorbable sutures may prevent this. The mechanism of scleral site migration is unknown, but it could be hypothesized to be related to greater tension on the more anterior fibers of the scar, with gradual lengthening, as well as increasing scar strength of the shorter, less-stressed posterior fibers. Because there is no corresponding scleral wound to direct the scar position, collagen fibers will attach themselves anywhere, and will adhere better where they are under less tension. As remodeling progresses, the position gradually shifts posteriorly. Nonabsorbable suture should reduce tension on the scar, reducing position shift. Insertion migration

Total known cases 11 [=9%]

Total unrecognised cases ??

3. 'GENERIC' causes for consec X I **?Wrong surgical dose for this case**

Surgical tables make MANY assumptions:
 normal globe size
 bigger globe needs bigger surgery for same angular effect
 normal globe shape
 prolate vs. oblate : egg-shaped – longer axis A-P or transverse

average muscle stiffnessmany reasons why the 'standard' surgical dose may not be the best dose for this particular case

Surgical tables make IVIAINY assumptions...

average scleral rigidity clearly varies with globe size and refraction >average mechanical response of antagonist must vary with initial stiffnessmany reasons why the 'standard' surgical dose may not be the best dose for this particular case

'grow' with the pt

MR is repositioned to align the eyes & allow best early visual devpt Subsequent growth of globe, muscle, orbit & any insertion migration may alter this perfect mechanical 'balance' \rightarrow mechanically disadvantage the repositioned MR

4. Delayed consec XT - WHY?

<u>'Stretched scar'</u>

- Iook for stretchmarks, healing of other surgical scars,
- Scar remodelling is an ongoing lifelong process
- Scar is metabolically more active than tendon
- Seminal papers by Ludwig, Irene H J AAPOS. 2000 & Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc. 1999
 - Use non- absorbable sutures ↓↓ recurrence of stretched scar

Scar remodeling after strabismus surgery Irene Ludwig, MD, Alan Chow, MD JAAPOS 4: 326-333; 2000

"When we explored the ... muscles of patients with such overcorrections, the expectation was that the muscles would be found normally healed at their original surgical attachment sites and that repositioningwould repair the deviations. ... many of the overcorrection cases demonstrated a segment of amorphous scar tissue separating the tendon from its attachment site on the sclera"

Scar remodeling after strabismus surgery

Relative to all reoperation cases, lengthened scars were estimated to be found ... in the subset of patients with late overcorrections, in about 50%. [LK series: 42%]

Mean time between original strabismus surgery and scar repair 122 mo (range 1-612 mo). [LK series: 307 mo]

Median age at time of repair 19 y (range 3-68 y) [LK series: 33 y, range 3-68y !].

Scar remodeling after strabismus surgery

The time course of the development of strabismus overcorrection was gradual in most cases, and overcorrection was not seen in any patients immediately after surgery, as would be expected with an improperly attached muscle.

How to recognise stretched scar



FIGURE 11

Kowal MEACO 2009 Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc. 1999; 97: 583–651.

PRINCIPLES OF TREATMENT

 Core defect in consec XT is Medial Rectus underaction
 R_x: Have to make MR function normal [or near- normal] for satisfactory long term result

#1 LMR UA L XT R 6/6, L 6/12 Previous L R-R



HAVE TO FIX THE LMR UA TO FIX THE L XT

#2 RMR UA R XT R <u>6/12, L 6/6 Prev</u>ious R R-R

R XT

IS IT RMR UA? OR IS IT TIGHT RLR? OR IS IT BOTH?

> Right Gaze LMR normal



TIGHT RLR FROM 'CHRONIC XT' LOOKS THE SAME AS MR UA AND PRESUMED WEAK RMR.

CAN ONLY DIFFERENTIATE AT SURGERY

HAVE TO NORMALISE BOTH MR underaction and laterality of XT : Mechanical explanation not always the only one

> Usually LMR UA → L XT
 > If the R is sensorially
 'superior', RMR UA can
 drive a L XT

R 6/6 L 6/12 PREVIOUS BMR

L > R



Cooper's 1961 dictum: not a reliable guide







These are difficult cases

 Careful complete assessment
 Careful pt education : pt's expectations need to be same as Dr's expectations

> 2nd opinions sensible

These are difficult cases

Need to make MR function normal or XT will recur

- Difficult to dissect out tendons
- Muscle 'meat' can be 20+ mm from limbus
- > Adjustables often necessary
- Fat may be present
- NO surgical tables
- Intra-op 'spring back' as a guide
- > Guide: Early ET ≥ 10 Δ

RESULTS THIS SERIES

Great result	D & N ≤ 10∆	n =75	63%	
	Ortho D&N	37	31%	
	One or both of D&N = 0	51	43%	
OK result	D & N, one ≤10 Δ or both ≤ 20 Δ	29	24%	
Not good enough	Both D & N > 20 Δ or further surgery recommended	12	10%	
4 . Convergence excess				

No correlation between quality of result and:

Size of preoperative angle of XT	P= 0.21
Putative mechanism of XT - stretched scar -Insertion migration - 'generic'	P=0.11
Duration of postop follow-up	P=0.19



LENGTH OF FOLLOWUP

< 2 MONTHS	37%
2-6 MONTHS	20%
6-12 MONTHS	11%
1-2 YEARS	14%
> 2 YEARS	17%

MOSTLY ADULT POPULATION - DON'T RETURN IF THEY DON'T NEED TO OR DON'T WANT TO Kowal MEACO 2009

REPEAT SURGERIES : FOR ET

>7 require SURGERY [6%]

>10 require BOTOX [8%]

REPEAT SURGERIES : FOR XT

> 14 REQUIRE 2ND SURGERY [12%]
 > 2/14 REQUIRE 3RD SURGERY FOR XT

>12% REQUIRE >1 SURGERY

IMPERFECT RESULTS

12%: 2nd [or 3rd] surgery for XT
6% : surgery for ET [some have botox 1st]
Σ 18% have second surgery
..additional 6 [5%] have Botox for ET
10% : still > 20Δ or have another reason for another surgery

> 2/3 STRAIGHTFORWARD COURSE

Pre-op diplopia prediction

These pts have sensory adaptation for both ET & XT : troublesome persistent diplopia rare

Highly reliable pre-op indicators of very low diplopia risk:

If prismatic simulation of surgical correction doesn't -> diplopia

Photos of aligned eyes with no diplopia

Diplopia : Special caution Pt who had 1st surgery late

Sensorially less 'flexible' Paradoxical diplopia more common

RESULTS 1

Consecutive exotropia surgery GOMEZ DE LIANO SANCHEZ et al Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol. 2001 Retrospective n= 30 SURGICAL PLAN: > LR Rc OU for < 35 Λ > Advance 1-2 MR if > 35 Λ > 50% one surgery. > 70%: ≤± 10∆

RESULTS 2

Donaldson MJ, Forrest MP, Gole GA Surgical management of consec XT **JAAPOS. 2004** n=59. > S_x: LR Rc, MR adv to original insertion > Time to XT S_x mean 14y (4mo-47 y) LK 23y > Mean preop XT 32Δ > Result ≤±10∆ : 71% @ final follow-up LK 63% > 66% : exodrift after surgery - mean 8 Δ

RESULTS 3

Outcome of a surgical treatment protocol for late consecutive exotropia following bilateral medial rectus recession for esotropia.

Mims JL 3rd, Wood RC. Binocul Vis Strabismus Q. 2004;19(4):201-6.

- > 119 children followed 6 mo 15 y after surgery
- > LR recess 7 8.5mm if $\leq 23 \Delta XT$
- > LR Rc & advancement of one previously recessed MR to the insertion if \geq 24 Δ XT.
- The overall "success" rate for this protocol was 74% at 2- 4 y postop'.

Spontaneous consec XT Alan Scott : unpublished series n= 19

- > ET ≤ 20 Δ Onset ≤ 2y
- $> \geq + 4 \text{ DS}$ Amblyopia $\geq 1 \text{ line}$
- 12/19 : spontaneous consec XT
- Only 4/19 stayed ET
- > ET usually declined \geq age 5

"This set you don't want to touch surgically at an early age"

- > LK : 70 ET pts, ≥+6, 2003-5
- > 2/70 spontaneous consec XT
- > UNRECOGNISED SELECTION BIAS

Spontaneous consecutive XT

- > 2 cases of spontaneous consecutive XT
 - 2% of all consecutive XT
 - High +, amblyopia, cong ET
- # 1 : 10 yo F, infantile ET

 XT first noted ~ 2 yo
 Now XT 10∆ with V
 R +8.75, L +7.00
 R amblyopia 6/12
 No surgery

Spontaneous consec XT

> # 2

- 30 yo F
- Infantile ET ? Age onset XT
- RXT 35Δ
- R +7.50, L +4.50
- R 6/45
- R Rc/ Rs : RET 7∆

SUMMARY - CONSEC XT

- Difficult to assess and operate
- Common in a dedicated strabismus practice
- Common in a cong ET population
- Expect 2/3 to do very well
- > 10% do not do well

Starting with humility is easier than having it thrust on you

Thank You



Yarra River footbridge-Melhourne Australia

Factors UNrelated to outcome

Hyperopia
 Previous audit: high + common
 Amblyopia



Mean time between ET and XT surgery

For stretched scar group = 25.6 years (median 23)

> ALL: MEDIAN TIME TO SURGERY 22 YRS. AVERAGE 23.



Age distribution at time of XT surgery (Years) B



Age distribution (B) in years





Distribution of pre-op angle (All)



'GENERIC' CONSEC XT



STRETCHED SCAR : LK SERIES



STRETCHED SCAR: LUDWIG SERIES





FIGURE 2

Time to stretch based on patient history or previous records (43 patients). Another 31 patients recalled gradual onset of secondary strabismus, 3 were aligned for years followed by subacute change, and 57 had no recollection.

Kowal: time to surgery. Ludwig: time to stretch. Kowal MEACO 2009

587

Stretched scar group

Mean time between ET and XT surgery for B group (including 5 with B + something else) = 25.6 years (median 23)

Age at time of XT surgery – Mean 35.5 years

- median 33

years

Range of ages at Xt Sx 3.3 to 68 years (ref graph slide 2
Kowal MEACO 2009
57